

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/554,531	BEELEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew D. Kosar	1654	

**All Participants:**

(1) Andrew D. Kosar.

(2) Dianne Rees.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 January 2007

**Time:** 11:00

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*n/a*

**Claims discussed:**

*1-73*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*n/a*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted to obtain permission for the examiner's amendment to correct improperly multiply dependent claims 25 and 31. Authorization for the amendments to other claims had been previously given by Applicant on October 25, 2006 (in the response to the Notice to Comply). New claims 74 and 75 would be added to claim the subject matter removed from claim 25.